

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
EUREKA DIVISION

BRIAN CHAVEZ, et al.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

COUNTY OF SANTA CLARA,

Defendant.

Case No. 15-cv-05277-RMI

ORDER DENYING MOTION

Re: Dkt. No. 144

Before the court is a Motion to Partake in the Pending Enforcement Hearing Before Magistrate Judge Cousins in this Consent Decree (dkt 144) filed *pro se* by Mr. Howard Herships. In the Motion, Mr. Herships references his currently pending action in this court, *Herships v. State of California Superior Court et al*, 3:20-cv-07208-JD, and requests that, based on the discovery he has received in that action, he be allowed to file documentation (over 500 pages) of evidence of the County's violations of the consent decree in this action. *See generally* Mot. (Dkt 144). Previously, Mr. Herships filed a Motion to Intervene (dkt. 117) and a Motion for Civil Contempt (dkt. 116). In the Order denying those motions (dkt. 119), the court explained to Mr. Herships that his "interests are adequately represented by" class counsel in this matter and denied his request to intervene in this case on a *pro se* basis. Nothing in this most recent filing alters that analysis and decision. If Mr. Herships believes he has something of import regarding the enforcement of this consent decree, he should simply provide it to class counsel.

Accordingly, the Motion to Partake in the Pending Enforcement Hearing Before Magistrate Judge Cousins in this Consent Decree (dkt 144) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 18, 2024



ROBERT M. ILLMAN
United States Magistrate Judge